

# Cherenkov vs. ghosts

#### Eugeny Babichev

Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis Irène Joliot-Curie

based on arxiv:2412.20093

PRAGUE SPRING 2025 7-12 April 2025

#### **Outline**

Cherenkov radiation

Ghosts in modified gravity

Cherenkov vs ghosts

# Signal propagation and dispersion relation





phase:  $\Phi = -\omega k + kx$ 

propagation is along  $\Phi = \text{const}$  (Also characteristics)

# Signal propagation and dispersion relation



$$k_{\mu} = \nabla_{\mu} \Phi = \{-\omega, k\} \rightarrow$$
$$k^{\mu} = \{\omega, k\}$$

 $k_{\mu}$  is orthogonal to  $\Phi = \text{const}$ 

Introduce  $N^{\mu}$ :  $N^{\mu}k_{\mu} = 0$ 

 $N^{\mu}$  is tangential to  $\Phi={\rm const}$  i.e.  $N^{\mu}$  is propagation vector  $N^{\mu}=\{k,\omega\}$ 

 $\clubsuit \ k^{\mu}$  and  $N^{\mu}$  are different

# Signal propagation and dispersion relation





 $k^{\mu}$  is 4-momentum  $(p^{\mu})$ 

$$k^{\mu} = \{\omega, k\}, \quad \omega = c_s k$$

subluminal case  $\omega < k$  (for dust  $\omega = 0$ )

✤ null case  $\omega = k$ 

✤ superluminal ease  $\omega > k$ 



Electromagnetic radiation emitted when a charged particle passes through a medium at a speed greater than the velocity of light in that medium





Can the particle loose its energy to give it to a phonon? In this case no:  $p_2^\mu \neq p_1^\mu + k^\mu$ 



How about now? Yes, the particle looses its energy to phonons. Assuming interaction:  $p_2^\mu = p_1^\mu + k^\mu$ 

# Cherenkov Radiation (1+1)



And now? No, in this case Cherenkov radiation is impossible in 1+1.



### Cherenkov Radiation (1+1) (solid arrows)



Need (2+1). Heavy particle: tangential plane to the mass shell at the point  $\omega^2 = m^2 + k_x^2 + k_y^2$ Assume particles propagate in *x*-direction, the change in momentum is  $\Delta p^{\mu} = \{v \Delta p_x, \Delta p_x, \Delta p_y\}$ 

The emitted photons have  $k^{\mu} = \left\{ \pm c_s \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}, k_x, k_y \right\}$ 

5



$$0 = \Delta p^{\mu} + k^{\mu}$$
  
where  $\Delta p^{\mu} = p_2^{\mu} - p_1^{\mu}$   
$$\int$$

$$\Delta p^{\mu} = \{ v \Delta p_x, \Delta p_x, \Delta p_y \}$$
$$k^{\mu} = \left\{ \pm c_s \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}, k_x, k_y \right\}$$

$$\cos\theta = \frac{k_x}{\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}} = \frac{c_s}{v}$$

**Cherenkov cone** 

## **Ghosts**



#### **Ghosts**

Scalar field in Minkowski

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial^{\mu}\phi - \frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{m^2\phi^2}{2}$$

Canonical momentum  $p=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\phi}}{\partial \dot{\phi}}=\dot{\phi}$  Hamiltonian

$$H = p\dot{\phi} - \mathcal{L}_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\nabla}\phi) + \frac{m^{2}\phi^{2}}{2}$$

 $H \geqslant 0, \text{ bounded from below}$ 

## Ghosts

``X `X

Consider a scalar with opposite sign

Standard problem of ghosts

# Instability of black holes in scalar-tensor theories?

Perturbations of black holes in Horndeski theory (scalar-tensor theory of gravity) with time-dependent scalar field:

Hamiltonian of perturbations (spherical symmetry):

$$H\sim rac{1}{b_1}\left(\pi-rac{1}{2}b_3\chi'
ight)^2+b_2\chi'^2$$
  
 $b_1>0, \quad b_2>0.$  Boundedness from below

For interesting black hole solutions in the vicinity of the BH horizon, either  $b_1$  or  $b_2$  is negative  $\Rightarrow$  **instability (?)** 

Hamiltonian vs instability



# Does unbounded from below Hamiltonian necessarily imply instability?

NO

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\chi}^2 - \frac{c_s^2}{2}{\chi'}^2 \qquad \text{Relativistic boost } c = 1:$$
$$\tilde{t} = \frac{t + vx}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}, \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{x + vt}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}$$

$$\mathcal{L} \to \frac{1}{1-v^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1-c_s^2 v^2) \dot{\chi}^2 + (1-c_s^2) v \dot{\chi} \chi' - \frac{1}{2} (c_s^2 - v^2) \chi'^2 \right]$$

Hamiltonian: 
$$\mathcal{H}_2 = rac{1}{2} (...)^2 + rac{1}{2} (c_s^2 - v^2) \pi'^2$$

 $\mathcal{H}_2 < 0 \text{ for } |v| > c_s$ 

# non-GHOST



#### need to compare 2 (or more) species

# **Stability vs Hamiltonian**

- When total Hamiltonian density is bounded by below, then the lowest energy state is necessarily stable.
- Inverse is not true: A Hamiltonian density which is unbounded from below does not always imply instability (contrary to common lore).
- Sometimes the unbounded Hamiltonian appears due to the "bad" choice of coordinate



The Hamiltonian is not a scalar with respect to coordinate transformations

#### [EB, Charmousis, Esposito-Farèse, Lehébel'17'18]



#### [EB, Charmousis, Esposito-Farèse, Lehébel'17'18]















#### CHERENKOV



O→ k<sup>k</sup>+ sp<sup>m</sup>

# **GHOST cone**

# **Cherenkov cone**





### **Cherenkov vs. Ghosts**

# Why is Cherenkov radiation ok (physical) ? And ghosts are dangerous

- Cherenkov process is not instantaneous due to the physical cutoff
- Phonons cease to exist for very high energies, when the momentum is of order of the inverse distance between atoms
- In case of photons, the dispersion relation in medium is momentumdependent, i.e.  $c_s = c_s(k)$ , so that the speed of photons grows as k increases
- There is no Cherenkov radiation for high energies of emitted particles

### **Cherenkov vs. Ghosts**

# Why is Cherenkov radiation ok (physical) ? And ghosts are dangerous

- If a modified gravity theory is considered to be an EFT, then there is a cutoff of the theory, beyond which the description in terms of a specific modified gravity model becomes invalid
- The cutoff on the background solution determine the largest momenta of created pairs of normal particles and ghosts.
- Yet another limitation for the rate of a ghost instability: The background is eventually destroyed by the creation of particles on top of it

### Conclusions

- Some ghost instabilities in modified gravity are fully analogous to Cherenkov radiation
- These ghosts are not "extremely" dangerous, although they do lead to instability of a background solution
- Ghost cones can we observe them?